

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/624,528	07/23/2003	Dirk Heinrich	233810US0	6667
22850	7590 07/06/2006		EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			LE, HOA T	
1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		1773	
		DATE MAILED: 07/06/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			ı
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/624,528	HEINRICH ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	H. T. Le	1773	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rd will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO ute, cause the application to become a	ICATION. It reply be timely filed INTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16	March 2006.		
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ Th	nis action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow			
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims	•		
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application	on.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) 10-17 is/are withdra			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ad	ccepted or b)  objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be held in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the l	Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
<ul><li>1. Certified copies of the priority docume</li><li>2. Certified copies of the priority docume</li></ul>		Application No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr			
application from the International Bure			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lie	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	t received.	
Attachment(s)	🗖		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date	
<ol> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>Dec. 2003</u>.</li> </ol>		Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/624,528

Art Unit: 1773

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

#### Election/Restrictions

- 2. Upon reconsideration, claim 8 is herby rejoined and examined along with the elected claims 1-7 and 9.
- 3. Claims 10-17 stand withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention. Applicant did not argue to examiner's reply to the traversal in the last office action. It's assumed that Applicant agreed with the Examiner on this issue.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. Claims 1-5,8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mumcu (US 4,687,837).

Claim 1: Mumcu teaches polyamide having an <u>average</u> grain size from 30 to 70 microns (col. 4, lines 54-57). Table at col. 6, lines 25-30 shows a <u>median</u> grain size of approximately 73  $\mu$ m, which falls within the claimed median grain size, based on the following calculation: [(1.2%x70)+(48%x90)+(99%x110)+(100%x130)]/4 = (0.84+43.2+108.9+140)/4 = 73 The table shows zero wt% of fines less than 60  $\mu$ m, thus content of fines of less than 5  $\mu$ m is below 1 wt%.

The particles are reported to be spherical (col. 3, line 1); therefore, at least 75% of all three spatial axes have the same dimensions to within 10%.

Application/Control Number: 10/624,528

Art Unit: 1773

Claim 2: See above.

Claims 3-5: See col. 3, lines 45-49 and col. 5, line 66.

Claim 8: See col. 5, lines 19-22.

Claim 9: See col. 6, lines 25-30.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mumcu (US 4,687,837) as applied to claims 1-5, 8 and 9 above, and further in view of either Suzuki (US 5,139,821) or Araki et al (US 6,777,488).

Mumcu teaches the claimed polyamide as discussed above. Mumcu does not explicitly report regulators having terminal groups as claimed. However, Suzuki and Araki each teach polyamide containing therein regulators having terminal amino and carboxyl groups within the claimed ratio. See Suzuki, col. 3, lines 1-4, and Araki col. 2, lines 12-20. It would have been obvious for one having ordinary skill in the art to incorporate regulators as claimed because it's disclosed that such ratio improves disperse power of the polyamide particles in a dispersion (see Araki, col. 2, lines 25-31) and promotes stable polymerization (see Suzuki, col. 3, lines 1-20).

Application/Control Number: 10/624,528 Page 4

Art Unit: 1773

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-7 and 9 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection set forth above.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to H. T. Le whose telephone number is 571-272-1511. The examiner can normally be reached on 10:00 a.m. to 6:30 p.m., Mondays to Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carol Chaney can be reached on 571-272-1284. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

H. T. Le

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1773